Impact Analyses (IMLS complete data set)

The impact analyses below were based on the full IMLS (n=1578) data set. These analyses controlled for unobserved book effects.

A linear mixed effects model with a book-specific random intercept was used.

In R notation... y ~ Gel + logCa + logK + logS + logFe + logAl + (1|book),

where the response variable y is one of Delta L^* or Delta A^* .

Results...

##########

Effect on Delta L*...

	Increment	Change in	Margin of	P-Value
Covariate	in Covariate	Delta L*	Error	
Gel	2.83	1.320166	0.2546259	1.707297e-25
Ca	162.41%	1.259983	0.2635545	5.805079e-22
К	109.9%	-0.7944367	0.3076235	1.202234e-07
S	149.08%	-0.7355805	0.4878937	0.001283496
Fe	44.43%	-1.581318	0.2026856	3.437242e-55

sd(DeltaL*) = 4.845915

To make the comparisons fair, all Increments in Covariates correspond to the respective covariate's standard deviation. Because Ca-Fe were entered into the model on the log scale, the increments are multiplicative.

As examples,

Controlling for the effects of all the other covariates and unobserved book effects, a 2.83 unit (i.e. one standard deviation) increase in Gelatin is associated with an estimated 1.32 unit increase in Delta L*. The margin of error is 0.255 and the p-value is < .0001. (Note that the estimate +- margin of error gives a 95% confidence interval.)

Controlling for the effects of all the other covariates and unobserved book effects, a 162% increase in Calcium (i.e. a one standard deviation increase in log Calcium) is associated with an estimated 1.26 unit increase in Delta L*. The margin of error is 0.264 and the p-value is < .0001.

Note: All the factors are statistically related to Delta L*.

Note: To get an idea of how big the change in Delta L^* is, compare it to the standard deviation $sd(Delta L^*) = 4.85$.

A rank ordering of the "impact of covariates" can be based on the absolute magnitudes of the values in the column labeled "Change in Delta L*" and the margin of errors in the column labeled "Margin of Error."

Define the conservative estimate of impact as $CEI = minimum\{|u|: d - me \le u \le d + me\}$, where d is the estimate of the Change in Delta L* (corresponding to a 1 standard deviation in the covariate) and me is the margin of error associated with this estimate.

As an example, suppose that the estimated change in Delta L* is d = 1.320, with me = 0.255. Then plausible estimates of the actual change in Delta L* include values between 1.065 and 1.575. Thus, a plausible estimate of the change in Delta L* is as close to 0 as 1.065. By the definition of CEI, we have EEI = 1.065. (See the 3 number-line graphics below for other examples.)

1.3201.575]	Then	CEI= 1.065	(plausible estimates as close to 0 as 1.065)
2.6]	Then	CEI = 0	(0 is a plausible estimate)
[-1.21.00.8]0	Then	CEI = 0.8	(plausible estimates as close to 0 as -0.8)

Based on CEI, we have the following: Impact Ranking (Response=Delta L*)... Fe > Gel > Ca > K > S [emboldened factors have positive effects]

Disclaimer: The impacts of the first three of these covariates are statistically similar.

###########

Effect on Delta A*...

	Increment	Change in	Margin of	P-Value
Covariate	in Covariate	Delta A*	Error	
Gel	2.83	-0.4982522	0.09838362	2.059121e-24
Ca	162.41%	-0.4874386	0.1017319	4.722019e-22
К	109.9%	0.2093307	0.1187848	0.0002121127
S	149.08%	0.2626452	0.1882787	0.00263571
Fe	44.43%	0.4761517	0.07823679	2.189246e-34

sd(DeltaA*) = 1.815362

For example,

Controlling for the effects of all the other covariates and unobserved book effects, a 44.43% increase in Fe is associated with an estimated 0.476 unit increase in Delta A*.

Based on CEI, Impact Ranking (Response = Delta A*)... Gel > Fe > Ca > K > S

Disclaimer: The impacts of the first three are virtually identical.